

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,570	10/26/2001	Tyler Tierney	27734/92540	4128
7590 07/09/2008 MERONI & MERONI, P.C.			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 309			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
BARRINGTON, IL 60011			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/039,570	TIERNEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank B. Vanaman	3618	

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED 23 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 196 x 1 is checked; check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have under set fo may r	issions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee been filed is the date for uproses of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee or 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as with in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
∠. ∟	The Notice of Appeal was fined on the compilation with 37 CFR 41.37 finish the new within 30 CFR 41.37 (e)), to avoid drismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AME</u>	NDMENTS
3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. 5.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \(\text{ will not be entered, or b)} \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-1.17 and 19-26. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
<u>AFFI</u>	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and use not actify preparted. See 37 CFB 11860.

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1),

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Frank B Vanaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618 Continuation of 3. NOTE: the numerous proposed amendments to the claims would require substantial further consideration and would not be entered at this time. Additionally, in that the proposed changes constitute the presentation of claims of a substantially different scope from that which has been prosecuted to date, and thus lack a clear prosecution history, such proposed changes would not place the application in better form for an appeal.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendment to claims 10 and 12, correcting the informality noted in the "Claim Objections" section of the Final Office Action would overcome the objections.